



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,567	10/18/2000	Yoshihiko Hibino	001248	8750

7590

10/23/2002

Armstrong Westerman Hattori McLeland & Naughton
1725 K Street NW Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20006

EXAMINER

SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1774

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicati n No.

09/673,567

Applicant(s)

HIBINO ET AL.

Examiner

Pamela R. Schwartz

Art Unit

1774

-- The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disp sition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Rejection based upon the use of Japanese Industrial Standards has been overcome except for rejection based upon JIS-8148, the submitted translation of which does not predate applicants' filing date.

The specification also does not appear to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make the invention when it requires the presence of a "polyvinyl alcohol-cation monomer graft polymer." The only examples of what is meant by the term appear to references to foreign tradenamed materials. No specific example of monomers have been set forth. This rejection is maintained because applicants cannot rely on the Safety Data Sheet or the "Explanation of HIMACS SC600-G2" which they have filed for enablement. These documents do not predate the filing of the application. There has been no evidence provided that the material disclosed therein is available in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Rejection based upon use of the term "main" has been overcome.

The term "polyvinyl alcohol-cation monomer graft polymer" is indefinite because it is unclear what would be included in the scope of this term and the specification does not appear to define what is included. While the submitted documents describe one

Art Unit: 1774

particular material. they fail to define the metes and bounds of "polyvinyl alcohol-cation monomer graft polymer" and therefore, do not overcome the rejection.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al. (5,756,151) in view of Yasuda et al. (4,944,988) and Koji et al. (EP 0745,488). Koide et al. disclose a paper which may be used in an ink jet recording process (see col. 1, lines 9-14). At col. 3, the reference discloses brightness values of diffuse blue reflectance between 87 and 100 percent, fluorescence intensity values of 2.5 to 10, the use and control of fluorescent brightness in recording papers and that the type of fluorescent brightener used by applicants is well known in the art (see col. 3, lines 12-21, 39-61 and 64-65). The reference also discloses controlling the amount of brightener in order to achieve desirable results. The medium is formed from a paper support which includes loading material, pulp, brightening agent and starch as a binder (see Example 1).

Yasuda et al. teach forming an ink jet recording layer with a combination of a polyvinyl alcohol and other binder materials in order to achieve a medium with good printing quality and in particular, excellent surface hardness (see the Abstract and col. 7, line 22- col. 8, line 48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a cationic polyvinyl alcohol graft copolymer as a binder or additive in or on the paper of the primary reference in order to increase surface hardness of the resulting medium.

Koji et al. disclose formation of an ink jet recording material with a support including calcium carbonate as loading material, controlled ash content and using a

Art Unit: 1774

combination of pulp that may include waste paper pulp. See page 4, lines 44-57 and page 5, lines 22-26. Use of calcium carbonate filler is taught to improve water resistance, and the ash content is controlled to maintain absorption of ink and to control water resistance as well. Based upon the disclosure of Koji et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use calcium carbonate as the loading material of the primary reference, to include waste paper pulp in the support and to control the ash content in order to control properties of ink absorption and water resistance in desired ranges.

4. The substitute specification that has been submitted is proper and has been entered.
5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-2424. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PRSchwartz
April 22, 2002


PAMELA R. SCHWARTZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER